

# Math 1560 - Notes 3

Ian Benway

8 February 2022

## 1 Dirichlet Convolution

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be arithmetic functions. The **Dirichlet Convolution** (or Dirichlet Product) of  $f$  and  $g$  is

$$\begin{aligned}(f * g)(n) &= \sum_{d_1 d_2 = n} f(d_1)g(d_2) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} f(d)g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Let's quickly check some properties:

- Associativity holds:

$$\begin{aligned}((f * g) * h)(n) &= (f * (g * h))(n) \\ &= \sum_{d_1 d_2 d_3 = n} f(d_1)g(d_2)h(d_3)\end{aligned}$$

- Commutativity clearly holds.

- It has a multiplicative identity:

Let  $I : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be given by

$$I(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f * I = I * f = f$ .

- There are also *usually* Dirichlet inverses.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $f$  is an arithmetic function such that  $f(1) \neq 0$ , then there exists an arithmetic function  $g$  such that  $f * g = I$ . This inverse is given recursively by*

$$\begin{aligned}g(1) &= \frac{1}{f(1)} \\ \text{and } g(n) &= -\frac{1}{f(1)} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} g(d)f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We'll show that given  $f, g$  defined above,  $f * g = I$ .

$$n = 1 : \quad g(1)f(1) = \frac{1}{f(1)}f(1) = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} n > 1 : \quad \sum_{d|n} g(n)f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) &= g(n)f(1) + \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} g(d)f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &= -\frac{f(1)}{f(1)} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} g(d)f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) + \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} g(d)f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2 Möbius Inversion

The Möbius Mu Function  $\mu : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$  given by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k & \text{if } n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k, \text{ each pairwise distinct primes} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.**  $\mu$  is a multiplicative function.

*Proof.* Let  $m, n, \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  with  $(m, n) = 1$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} m &= p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k} \\ n &= q_1^{f_1} q_2^{f_2} \dots q_l^{f_l}. \end{aligned}$$

We have two cases:

**Case 1:** Some  $e_i$  or  $f_i \geq 2$ . Then,  $\mu(mn) = \mu(m)\mu(n) = 0$ .

**Case 2:** All  $e_i = f_i = 1$ . Then  $\mu(m) = (-1)^k$  and  $\mu(n) = (-1)^l$ , so  $\mu(m)\mu(n) = (-1)^{k+l}$ .

Since  $(m, n) = 1$ , we have  $\mu(mn) = (-1)^{k+l}$ . □

**Lemma 3.**

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0 \quad \forall n \geq 2$$

*i.e., the summatory function of  $\mu$  is  $I$ .*

*Proof.*  $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)$  is multiplicative. So it suffices to check on prime powers:

$$\begin{aligned} f(p^e) &= \mu(1) + \mu(p) + \mu(p^2) + \dots + \mu(p^e) \\ &= 1 - 1 + 0 + \dots + 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $i : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \{1\}$  be the constant 1 function.

**Lemma 4.**  $i * \mu = \mu * i = I$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} n = 1 : & \quad i(1)\mu(1) = 1 \\ n > 1 : & \quad (i * \mu)(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Summatory functions can be seen as Dirichlet products: the summatory function  $F$  of  $f$  is  $F = f * i$ .

Recall summatory functions inherit multiplicativity. In fact, this holds for Dirichlet products: if  $f, g$  are multiplicative, then so is  $f * g$ .

The proof is parallel to the proof of summatory functions.

**Theorem 1** (Möbius Inversion). *Let  $F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$ . Then,*

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) F\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \mu * F.$$

*Proof.*  $F = f * i$ , thus  $F * \mu = (f * i) * \mu = f * (i * \mu) = f * I = f$ .

□

**Corollary 1.** *If  $F$  is the summatory function of  $f$ , and  $F$  is multiplicative, then  $f$  is multiplicative, as  $f = F * \mu$ , and  $\mu$  is multiplicative.*

**Corollary 2.** *Corollary 1 proves that the Euler  $\phi$  function is multiplicative, as  $\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = \phi * i =$  the identity.*

### Applications of Möbius Inversion

- Application 1: Cyclotomic Polynomials

The  $n$ th cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_n(x)$  is the unique irreducible polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  dividing  $x^n - 1$  but not  $x^k - 1$  for  $k < n$ . Thus

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (k, n) = 1}} (x - e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{n}}),$$

as the roots of this polynomial are exactly the primitive  $n$ th roots of unity.

We have  $\prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x) = x^n - 1$ . By Möbius Inversion, if  $G(n) = \prod_{d|n} g(d)$ , then

$$g(n) = \prod_{d|n} G(d)^{\mu(\frac{n}{d})}.$$

In particular, taking  $G(n) = x^n - 1$  ( $x \in \mathbb{C}$ ) gets

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{d|n} (x^d - 1)^{\mu(\frac{n}{d})} \quad (x \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Applying this identity for enough  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  yields this as an identity of polynomials.

- Application 2: Dynatomic Polynomials

Dynatomic polynomials have as roots the periodic points (of certain periods) of a polynomial.

Let  $K$  be a field, and let  $f \in K[x]$  of degree  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $f^n = f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f$  ( $n$  times).

$P \in \bar{K}$  is said to be periodic under  $f$  if  $f^n(P) = P$  for some  $n \geq 1$ .

For example:  $f(x) = x^2 - 1$  has 0 as a periodic point:  $0 \rightarrow -1 \rightarrow 0$ .  
It's period is 2.

Note: if  $n$  is the smallest possible integer such that  $f^n(P) = P$  (for  $P$  periodic), then  $n$  is said to be the **exact period** of  $P$ .

The  $n$ th dynatomic polynomial of  $f$  is

$$\Phi_{f,n}(x) := \prod_{d|n} (f^d(x) - x)^{\mu(\frac{n}{d})}.$$

Our hope is that  $\Phi_{f,n}(x)$  has its roots as the point of exact period  $n$ . But our hope is brutally and violently ripped apart, as this is not the case; for example,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x^2 - 3/4 \\ f^2(x) - x &= (x - 3/2)(x + 1/2)^3 \\ f(x) - x &= (x - 3/2)(x + 1/2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\frac{f^2(x) - x}{f(x) - x} = (x + 1/2)^2$ . But  $x = -1/2$  is fixed under  $f$ .